# CRYSTAL AND MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF 2-BENZYLIDENE[3]FERROCENOPHANE-1,3-DIONE * 

## E. GYEPES,

Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, Comenius University, 842 15 Bratislava (Czechoslovakia)
T. GLOWIAK *

Institute of Chemistry, University of Wroclaw, 50383 Wroclaw (Poland)
and S̆. TOMA
Department of Organic Chemistry. Faculty of Sciences, Comenius University, 842 15 Bratislava (Czechoslovakia)
(Received June 4th, 1986)

## Summary

2-Benzylidene[3]ferrocenophane-1,3-dione, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FeO}_{2}$, crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P \overline{1}$. The unit cell contains two molecules and has the dimensions: $a 8.711(2), b 7.625(2), c 11.908(3) \AA, \alpha 104.95(5), \beta 103.86(5), \gamma 90.17(5)^{\circ}$. The structure was solved using Patterson and Fourier syntheses and refined by the full-matrix least-squares method to a final $R$ value of 0.036 for 2190 reflections. The two cyclopentadienyl rings are tilted $13.57^{\circ}$ with respect to each other and staggered by $34.10^{\circ}$. The $\alpha$-carbon atoms are deviated outside the planes of the cyclopentadienyl rings by 0.407 and $0.256 \AA$, respectively, both closer to the iron atom. The dihedral angles between the cyclopentadienyl rings and corresponding carbonyl groups are 27.49 and $41.94^{\circ}$.

## Introduction

A bridge between the cyclopentadienyl rings of ferrocene usually causes deformation of the ideal structure of ferrocene where the parallel cyclopentadienyl ( cp ) rings are staggered by exactly $36^{\circ}$ [1]. The strain in [ $m$ ]ferrocenophane derivatives can be released by:
(1) forcing the cp ring out of coplanarity (ring tilting),

[^0](2) pushing the $\alpha$-carbon atoms (adjacent to the cp rings) out of the op ring planes (usually towards the iron atom),
(3) staggering the ep rings by less than $36^{\circ}$.

X-ray analysis of [Sferrocenophane-1-one has not yet been carried out. Cameron et al. [2] have found that the ring tilt in [4]ferrocenophane-1-one is only $4.4^{\circ}$ and the cp rings are almost ideally staggered Though both $\alpha$-carbon atoms are out of the plane of the cp rings (and closer to the iron atom), the deviation of the carbonyl $\alpha$-carbon atom is 0.33 A (with a dihedral angle of $18.3^{\circ}$ ) while the devation of the methylene $\alpha$-carbon atom is only 0.02 A . Jones et al. [3] found that for [3]ferro-cenophane- 1 -one the ring tilt was $8.8^{\circ}$ and that the cp ring staggering was $11.8^{\circ}$. The carbonyl carbon atom was $0.301 \AA$ out of the plane of the ep ring. closer to the iron atom. while the methylene $\alpha$-carbon atom is 0.123 A out of the plane away

TABLE 1
POSITIONAL PARAMETERS OF THE ATOMS $\left(\times 10^{5}\right)$ (Standard deviations in parenthenes)

| Atom | $\cdots$ | 1 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fe | (1890) 5 ) | $21810(6)$ | 355644 |
| O(1) | 1239428 ) | $28148(29)$ | 28980424 |
| O(2) | $55637(26)$ | $11867(2)$ | 3418725 |
| (11) | $6509(34)$ | - $1.384(39)$ | 298549 |
| C(12) | 10105437 | $12862(4.3)$ | [ 7898.8 ) |
| C(13) | . 901(43) | 26100446 | 1001423 |
| C(14) | - 11514397 | 20260447 ) | 25330439 |
| C(15) | -..7114 369 | $3345(42)$ | 24f4 70 |
| C(2) | $34396(35)$ | 19536(41) | 4303928 |
| C(2) | $32407(39)$ | $38231(+2)$ | $4302183)$ |
| C(23) | $211 \times 1(4)$ | 44748(45) | 405473) |
| C(24) | 16394(4) | $30084(49)$ | 53988 (3) |
| C(25) | 24502 (38) | $15053(45)$ | 404016.28 |
| C(1) | 1719966 | - - 1497240) | 26463 (20) |
| (2) | +2824(35) | $7670(4)$ | 52009 |
| (3) | $34802(351$ | - $11259(40)$ | 29993) |
| C(4) | 43789309 | -23871(45) | 2304 26 ) |
| C(31) | $39142(36)$ | $4194143)$ | 1492989 |
| ( 332 ) | $25418(34)$ | 45829(40) | 56m4 3 |
| C(3) | 2214142 | - $62692(49)$ | 237381 |
| C(34) | $32497(44)$ | - $751328(47)$ | -139433 |
| C(3) | 46040(45) | 72708(49) | 58.296 |
| C(36) | 4949840 | -55705(49) | 157298 |
| H112) | $1 \times 934$ | 13344 | 1396 |
| H(13) | $\cdots$ | 37722 | 1710 |
| 11(15) | -12562 | 4082 | \124 |
| H(2) | 38015 | 453 | 380? |
| H(23) | 17266 | 57273 | 50538 |
| H(24) | 8684 | 31551 | 59083 |
| H(25) | 23516 | 3995 |  |
| $11(32)$ | 17759 | -36244 | 4748 |
| H(33) | 12291 | -65191 | - 80\% |
| 11(34) | . 20129 | $\cdots 88592$ | 2276 |
| H(35) | 53476 | ¢2591 | 86.44 |
| H(36) | 59614 | 33222 | $221 \%$ |

from the iron atom. A similar situation was found in the case of 3-methyl-3-phenyl[3]ferrocenophane-1-one by Lecomte et al. [4]. The dihedral angle between the cp ring and the carbonyl group was found to be $42.1^{\circ}$.

In our previous work [5] we have studied the molecular structure of [3]ferro-cenophane-1,3-dione and have found that the ring tilt was $9.8^{\circ}$ and the cp rings staggered by only $6^{\circ}$. Both carbonyl groups were found to be non-equivalent. The carbonyl carbon atom of the first group was forced out of the plane of the cp ring by $8.3^{\circ}$, dihedral angle being $45.2^{\circ}$; for the second carbonyl group the values 9.7 and $38.6^{\circ}$ were found. On the other hand, according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR measurements the molecular structure of [3]ferrocenophane-1,3-dione in solution should be symmetrical [6].

The main goal of this work was to solve the molecular structure of 2-benzylidene[3]ferrocenophane-1,3-dione and to find out the effect of insertion of the third $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)$ carbon atom into the bridge. According to the NMR measurements the structure of this compound was found to be far from symmetrical [7].

## Results and discussion

The final positional and thermal parameters of the atoms are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Selected bond distances and angles are shown in Table 3 and the least-squares planes in Table 4. An ORTEP drawing of the molecule is illustrated in Fig. 1.

From crystallographic data it follows that the molecule of $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FeO}_{2}$ is asymmetrical. This finding is in agreement with the NMR measurements in solution

TABLE 2
ANISOTROPIC THERMAL PARAMETERS OF THE $\triangle$ TOMS (The isotropic temperature factors of the hydrogen atoms were taken as $5.5 \AA^{2}$ )

| Atom | $B_{11}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{33}$ | $B_{12}$ | $B_{13}$ | $B_{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fe | $2.12(2)$ | $2.54(2)$ | $3.01(2)$ | $0.22(1)$ | $0.17(1)$ | $0.72(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $4.27(12)$ | $3.01(10)$ | $7.15(16)$ | $0.46(9)$ | $2.74(11)$ | $2.03(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.36(10)$ | $4.48(12)$ | $7.50(16)$ | $0.03(9)$ | $1.50(10)$ | $1.22(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $2.17(12)$ | $2.86(13)$ | $3.19(14)$ | $-0.01(10)$ | $0.43(10)$ | $0.51(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $3.12(15)$ | $3.94(15)$ | $2.97(14)$ | $0.09(12)$ | $0.21(11)$ | $1.33(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $4.25(18)$ | $3.96(16)$ | $3.99(17)$ | $0.68(14)$ | $-0.53(14)$ | $1.63(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $2.71(15)$ | $4.29(16)$ | $4.23(17)$ | $0.95(12)$ | $-0.32(13)$ | $0.58(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $2.34(13)$ | $3.40(14)$ | $4.03(16)$ | $0.03(11)$ | $0.63(12)$ | $0.23(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $2.36(13)$ | $3.25(14)$ | $3.20(14)$ | $0.10(11)$ | $-0.17(11)$ | $0.53(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $3.01(15)$ | $2.90(14)$ | $4.74(18)$ | $-0.32(11)$ | $0.02(13)$ | $0.17(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $3.44(16)$ | $3.45(15)$ | $4.34(17)$ | $0.43(12)$ | $-0.22(13)$ | $-0.33(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $3.46(16)$ | $5.00(18)$ | $3.12(15)$ | $0.89(13)$ | $0.48(12)$ | $0.46(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $3.18(15)$ | $4.17(16)$ | $2.92(14)$ | $0.74(12)$ | $0.24(11)$ | $0.94(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.75(14)$ | $2.80(13)$ | $3.82(15)$ | $0.11(10)$ | $1.19(12)$ | $0.54(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.22(13)$ | $3.61(14)$ | $3.86(15)$ | $0.47(11)$ | $0.42(11)$ | $1.34(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2.32(13)$ | $3.05(13)$ | $3.67(15)$ | $0.48(10)$ | $0.70(11)$ | $1.12(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $2.37(13)$ | $4.30(16)$ | $3.49(15)$ | $0.61(12)$ | $0.46(11)$ | $0.92(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $2.65(14)$ | $3.79(15)$ | $3.28(14)$ | $0.59(11)$ | $0.97(11)$ | $0.85(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $3.04(15)$ | $4.33(16)$ | $3.78(16)$ | $0.82(12)$ | $0.63(12)$ | $1.05(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $3.56(16)$ | $4.78(17)$ | $3.67(16)$ | $-0.33(14)$ | $0.41(13)$ | $0.57(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $4.51(18)$ | $3.98(16)$ | $4.17(18)$ | $-0.01(14)$ | $1.44(14)$ | $0.48(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $4.24(18)$ | $4.20(17)$ | $5.16(20)$ | $1.44(14)$ | $1.16(15)$ | $0.70(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $3.00(15)$ | $4.77(17)$ | $4.36(18)$ | $1.17(13)$ | $0.52(13)$ | $0.58(14)$ |

TABLE 3. INTERATOMIC DISTANCES (A) AND BOND ANGLES,$^{\circ}$

| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.977(3)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.984(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $2.006(3)$ | $\mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{CO} 2)$ | $2.056(4)$ |
| Fe C(13) | $2.065(4)$ | Fe-C(23) | $2082(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Cl}(14)$ | $2.081(4)$ | $\mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{C}(24)$ | 200043 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Cl} 15)$ | $2.038(3)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Cl} 25)$ | 1.947(3) |
| C(11)-C(12) | 1.430(5) | C(21)-C(22) | 14375 |
| $C(12)-C(13)$ | $1.410(5)$ | ( 22 - - 123 ) | 1.4055 |
| $C(13)-C(14)$ | 1.41005 | (123)-(24) | 1414, |
| C(14)-C(15) | 1.40055 | (24)-(125) | 14]!s |
| C(15)-C(11) | 1.431(4) | (125)-C(2) | 1.4208 |
| C(11)-C11) | 1.433(4) | C(2) - ( $\mathrm{Cl}^{(2)}$ | $1+795$ |
| C(1)-O(1) | 1.290(4) | C(2)-O(2) | 1 1.164) |
| C(1)-C(3) | 1.519(5) | C(2)-C(3) | $1507(5)$ |
| $C(3)-C(4)$ | $1.338(5)$ | C(4)-C(31) | $1.462(5)$ |
| C(31)-C(32) | ( 39005 | $(14)$ (13) | 1.97366 |
| C(32)-(133) | $1.370{ }^{5}$ | ( 35$)-(136)$ | $1.3+5$ |
| C(33)-C(34) | $1.3 \times 6$ (5) | ( 30 - $(121)$ | 1.3985 |
| $C(15)-C(11)-C(12)$ | 107.3197 | ( 25 )-(21)-(22) | 107.2208 |
| C(11)-C(12)-C(13) | 107.8.29) | C(2) ( 222$)(23)$ | 108.1033 |
| $C(12)-C(13)-C(14)$ | 108.39, 31) | C(2)-C(23)-C(24) | 105 58.31 |
| C(13) $\cdots(14)-C(15)$ | $108.42(31)$ | $C(23)-C(24) \cdots(25)$ | $1081731)$ |
| $C(14)-C(15)-C(11)$ | 108.01129) | (24) (125)-(12) | $107.98(20)$ |
| C(1) C(11)-C(15) | $122.75(28)$ | C(2) C(2l)-C(25) | $12885(29)$ |
| $C(12)-C(11)-C(1)$ | $126.99(28)$ | C(2) - (21)-(2) | 123.4(29) |
| $\bigcirc(1)-C(1)-C(1)$ | 121.88680 | (21) (12) O(2) | 170611309 |
| C(3) C(1)-O(1) | $120.49(29)$ | O2) ( 12$) \times(2)$ | $1205030 ;$ |
| C(11)-C(1) C(3) | 117.4697 | C(3)-(2)-C(2) | 118948 |
| $C(4)-C(3)-C(1)$ | 122.55929 | ( 31$)-(14)-(3)$ | $12074.31)$ |
| (12)-C(3) C(4) | $11768(29)$ | (132) - (31)-64) | 12735 36 |
| C(1)-C(3)-C(2) | 119.85127 | C(4) C( 31$) \mathrm{Cl} 36$ | $11 \times 729$ |
| C(30)-C(31)-C(32) | 117.73611) | C(33)-(134)-(135) | 118.9609 |
| C(31)-C(32)-C(33) | $120.8 \times(33)$ | C(34) (135)-C(36) | 12032601 |
| C(32)-C(33)-C(34) | 120.74 .34 | ( $(35)(136)-(21)$ | 121任41 |

[7]. Insertion of the third $C\left(s p^{2}\right)(C(3))$ atom into the bridge causes:
(1) cp ring tilt of $13.57^{\circ}$ and stagger of $34.10^{\circ}$. The degree of staggering is defined as the angle between the planes of $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Fc} \cdot \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{C}(2)$.
(2) the carbonyl groups $C(1) O(1)$ and $C(2)-O(2)$ to be trans to each other,
(3) side chain $O(1) C(1) C(3) C(2)-O(2)$ non-coplanarity with the benvene ring even though they form a conjugated system.
The $\alpha$-carbon atoms are deviated outside the planes of the cyclopentadienyl ring. by 0.4072 and 0.2558 A. respectively, both closer to the central iron atom. The dihedral angles between the cp rings and corresponding carbony! groups are 27.49 and $41.94^{\circ}$.

## Experimental

## $X$-Ray data collection

A crystal of $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FeO}_{2}$ having dimensions $0.40 \times 0.10 \times 0.45 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was selected for X -ray data collection. Diffraction measurements were made on a Syntex $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ four-circle automatic diffractometer with graphite-monochromatized Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation. Crystal data for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FeO}$. (Mol. wt $=342.18$ ): a 8.7112) of 7.625 (2). .

TABLE 4. LEAST-SQUARES PLANES

| Plane | Atoms | Direction cosines | Other atoms | Deviation ( $\AA$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C(11) |  | Fe | $-1.6341(5)$ |
|  | C(12) | -0.3935 | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | -0.1986(27) |
|  | C(13) | $-0.2451$ | C(1) | -0.4072(32) |
|  | C(14) | -0.8861 | $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -1.1951(32) |
|  | C(15) |  | C(4) | -0.8915(33) |
| 2 | C(21) |  | Fe | 1.6357(5) |
|  | C(22) | -0.5540 | $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | -0.3168(27) |
|  | C(23) | -0.0816 | C(2) | $0.2558(32)$ |
|  | C(24) | $-0.8285$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.2942(32)$ |
|  | C(25) |  | $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.2865(33)$ |
| 3 | C(31) |  | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | - $2.4240(26)$ |
|  | C(32) | 0.6516 | O(2) | $0.9473(26)$ |
|  | C(33) | 0.4719 | C(1) | - 1.4293(32) |
|  | C(34) | -0.5939 | $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | -0.0525(33) |
|  | C(35) |  | C(3) | -0.4405(32) |
|  | C(36) |  | $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.0860(33)$ |

4
$C(1)$

| $C(2)$ | -0.1898 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $C(3)$ | 0.4744 |

$C(4) \quad-0.8596$
$O(1)$
$\mathrm{O}(2)$
5

| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 0.0746 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | -0.2814 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | -0.9567 |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | -0.2755 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 0.5749 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | -0.7704 |
| Fe | 0.7893 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 0.4131 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | -0.4543 |
| Fe | 0.3547 |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 0.8775 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | -0.3227 |

Some dihedral angles between planes ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| $1-2$ | 13.57 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-3$ | 81.13 | $2-3$ | 84.69 | $3-4$ | 52.36 |
| $1-4$ | 43.94 | $2-4$ | 38.87 |  |  |
| $1-5$ | 27.49 | $2-6$ | 41.94 |  |  |
| $7-8$ | 34.10 |  |  |  |  |

$11.908(3) \AA, \alpha 104.95(5), \beta 103.86(5), \gamma 90.17(5)^{\circ}, V 740.1 \AA^{3}, d_{\mathrm{c}} 1.54 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, $Z=2, \mu 10.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, space group $P \overline{\mathbf{1}}$.

Intensity data were collected within the range $0<2 \theta<50^{\circ}$. The $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique was used with a variable scan rate $2.0-29.3^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$. Two standard reflections were chosen as a check for crystal stability and correctness of intensity data.


Fig. 1. ORTEP drawing of the molecule of $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FeO}_{2}$ showing the atom numbering seheme.

The maximum intensity change of these two reflections was $5 \%$. A total of 2482 reflections were registered, 2190 of them with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ were employed in the structure analysis. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, but no absorption corrections were made. The first absolute scale and the mean temperature factor were determined by Wilson's method

## Structure determination and refinement

The structure of $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FeO}_{2}$ was solved by the Patterson and Fourier syntheses and refined by the full-matrix least-squares method to the final values of $R_{t}=0.036$ and $R_{2}=0.041$ for 2190 observations. The positional parameters of the hydrogen atoms were found using difference Fourier synthesis, and the known geometry of the molecule. They were included in the final structure factor calculation, but not refined. The final difference Fourier map confirmed the proposed structural model: the residual electron density being 0.03 A .
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